

**FROM THE 8TH PROBLEM SET FOR
“DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY II”
AKA “ANALYSIS AND GEOMETRY ON MANIFOLDS”
WINTER TERM 2009/10**

Problem 25. Let $\theta \in \bigwedge^n(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ be defined by

$$\theta = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (-1)^k x_k dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx^k} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{n+1}.$$

Let $i: \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ denote the inclusion map. Express $i^*\theta \in \bigwedge^n(\mathbb{S}^n)$, the restriction of θ to \mathbb{S}^n , in the coordinates of Problem 2.

Solution. We will be more careful with the notation than we usually would be. In particular we will distinguish functions on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} from their restrictions to \mathbb{S}^n .

We fix $(\ell, \sigma) \in \{1, \dots, n+1\} \times \{-1, +1\}$ and consider.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\ell, \sigma}: U_{\ell, \sigma} = \{x \in \mathbb{S}^n : \sigma x_\ell > 0\} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \\ \varphi_{\ell, \sigma}^j &= \begin{cases} x^j \circ i, & j < \ell, \\ x^{j+1} \circ i, & j \geq \ell. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$(1) \quad \left(\sum_j (x^j)^2 \right) \circ i = 1,$$

and applying d yields

$$(2) \quad 2 \sum_j (x^j \circ i) \cdot d(x^j \circ i) = 0.$$

Now we have

$$i^* \left(x_\ell dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx^\ell} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{n+1} \right) = (x^\ell \circ i) d\varphi_{\ell, \sigma}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{\ell, \sigma}^n.$$

For $k < \ell$ we obtain using (2)

$$\begin{aligned} &i^* \left(x_\ell dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx^k} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{n+1} \right) \\ &= -d\varphi_{\ell, \sigma}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\varphi_{\ell, \sigma}^k} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{\ell, \sigma}^{\ell-1} \wedge \left(\sum_j \varphi_{\ell, \sigma}^j d\varphi_{\ell, \sigma}^j \right) \wedge d\varphi_{\ell, \sigma}^\ell \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{\ell, \sigma}^n \\ &= -d\varphi_{\ell, \sigma}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\varphi_{\ell, \sigma}^k} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{\ell, \sigma}^{\ell-1} \wedge \varphi_{\ell, \sigma}^k d\varphi_{\ell, \sigma}^k \wedge d\varphi_{\ell, \sigma}^\ell \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{\ell, \sigma}^n \\ &= (-1)^{\ell-k} \varphi_{\ell, \sigma}^k d\varphi_{\ell, \sigma}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{\ell, \sigma}^n. \end{aligned}$$

For $k > \ell$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
i^* \left(x_\ell dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx^k} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{n+1} \right) \\
&= -d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^{\ell-1} \wedge \left(\sum_j \varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^j d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^j \right) \wedge d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^\ell \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^{k-1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^n \\
&= -d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^{\ell-1} \wedge \varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^{k-1} d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^{k-1} \wedge d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^\ell \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^{k-1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^n \\
&= (-1)^{k-\ell} \varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^{k-1} d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^n
\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing, we have

$$i^* \left((-1)^k x_\ell dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx^k} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{n+1} \right) = (-1)^\ell (x^k \circ i) d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^n$$

for all k and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
i^* \theta &= (-1)^\ell \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{(x^k \circ i)^2}{x^\ell \circ i} d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^n \\
&= \frac{(-1)^\ell}{x^\ell \circ i} d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^n \\
&= \sigma \cdot (-1)^\ell \left(1 - \sum_j (\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^j)^2 \right)^{-1/2} d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^n,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (1) for the last two equalities. \square

Remark. If we want to check whether we got the signs right, it is easy to do so. Let $p = (\varphi_{\ell,\sigma})^{-1}(0)$. We have $x^j(p) = \sigma \delta_{\ell,j}$. Calculation or staring hard reveals that the frame corresponding to $\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}$ satisfies

$$i_*(E_{j,p}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, & j < \ell, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j+1}}, & j \geq \ell. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$(i^* \theta)(E_{1,p}, \dots, E_{n,p}) = \theta_p \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \widehat{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+1}} \right) = (-1)^\ell x_\ell(p) = (-1)^\ell \sigma.$$

This is in accordance with

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sigma \cdot (-1)^\ell \left(1 - \sum_j (\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^j)^2 \right)^{-1/2} d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^n \right) (E_{1,p}, \dots, E_{n,p}) &= \\
&= \sigma \cdot (-1)^\ell \left(1 - \sum_j (\varphi_{\ell,\sigma}^j(p))^2 \right)^{-1/2} = \sigma \cdot (-1)^\ell.
\end{aligned}$$